



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/660,263 | 09/10/2003 | Benedicto H. Dominguez | VISAP073 | 5063 |
| 22434 | 7590 10/31/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP | | | BAYAT, BRADLEY B | |
| P.O. BOX 70 OAKLAND | 250 CA 94612-0250 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3621 | |
| o, | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | | | | | | | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/660,263 | DOMINGUEZ ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Bradley B. Bayat | 3621 | | |

| • | - Adminior | Alt Olik | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | Bradley B. Bayat | 3621 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | RALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | - | to the first scientias wh | internacia Internacia | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| | dianas with 27 CED 44 27 must be | filed within two month | an of the date of | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | ecause | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | codusc | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | (DTOL 224) | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | impliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | | timely filed amendme | ant canceling the | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | nowabie ii subiniiteu iii a separate, | | ent canceling the | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7, 9-37, 39-41, and 44-54</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | -tif Aill | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidat | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | at does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant's amendments to the claims require further search and or consideration.